Postal Regulatory Commission Submitted 4/10/2015 1:30:40 PM Filing ID: 92019 Accepted 4/10/2015

# BEFORE THE POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

COMPETITIVE PRODUCT PRICES
PRIORITY MAIL CONTRACT 77 (MC2014-18)
NEGOTIATED SERVICE AGREEMENT

Docket No. CP2014-31

# NOTICE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE OF CHANGE IN PRICES PURSUANT TO AMENDMENT TO PRIORITY MAIL CONTRACT 77 (April 10, 2015)

The Postal Service hereby provides notice that prices under Priority Mail

Contract 77, in the above-captioned proceeding, have changed as contemplated by the contract's terms. A redacted version of the amendment to Priority Mail Contract 77 is provided in Attachment A, and the unredacted amendment is being filed under seal.

The amendment will become effective one business day after the day that the Commission completes its review of this filing.

The Postal Service is also filing supporting financial documentation and a certified statement as required by 39 C.F.R. § 3015.5. The certified statement required by 39 C.F.R. § 3015.5(c)(2) is provided in Attachment B. A redacted version of the supporting financial documentation is included with this filing as a separate Excel file. The Postal Service's original application for non-public treatment in this docket is hereby incorporated by reference for the protection of these materials.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr. Chief Counsel, Pricing and Product Support

Elizabeth A. Reed

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260-1137 (202) 268-3179, Fax -6187 elizabeth.a.reed@usps.gov April 10, 2015

# ATTACHMENT A REDACTED AMENDMENT TO PRIORITY MAIL CONTRACT 77

# AMENDMENT OF SHIPPING SERVICES CONTRACT BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE



#### REGARDING PRIORITY MAIL SERVICE

WHEREAS, the United States Postal Service ("the Postal Service") and ("Customer") entered into a shipping services contract regarding Priority Mail service on March 8, 2014.

WHEREAS, the Parties desire to amend Section I.E, Section I.F, and replace Table 2 under this contract.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties agree that the contract is hereby amended as detailed below. The existing contract remains unchanged in all other respects. This amendment shall become effective one business day following the day on which the Commission issues all necessary regulatory approval.

[Replace Section I.E, I.F, and Table 2 as follows:]

### I. Terms

E. On the effective date of this amendment, the Customer shall receive Tier 5 rates until June 30, 2015. After June 30, 2015, Customer shall receive the applicable rate in Table 2 below, based on Customer's volume in the previous Contract Quarter.



F. On each anniversary date of the contract, the prices in Section I.E Table 2 will be adjusted by the most recent (as of the anniversary date) average increase in prices of general applicability for Priority Mail Commercial Plus. Customized prices will be calculated by the Postal Service and rounded up to the nearest whole cent.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have caused this amendment to be duly executed as of the later date below:

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
Signed by:
Printed Name: Ci, FFRocus
Title: UP SALES
Date: 3 25(15

# ATTACHMENT B SIGNED CERTIFICATION

### Certification of Prices for Amendment to Priority Mail Contract 77

I, Steven R. Phelps, Manager, Regulatory Reporting and Cost Analysis, Finance Department, am familiar with the prices and terms for the amendment to Priority Mail Contract 77. The amended prices and terms contained in this Contract were established by the Decision of the Governors of the United States Postal Service on the Establishment of Prices and Classifications for Domestic Competitive Agreements, Inbound International Competitive Agreements, and Other Non-Published Competitive Rates (Governors' Decision No. 11-6).

I hereby certify, based on the financial analysis provided herewith, that the amended prices are in compliance with 39 U.S.C § 3633 (a)(1), (2), and (3). They are expected to cover attributable costs. There should therefore be no subsidization of competitive products by market dominant products. The amended contract should not impair the ability of competitive products on the whole to cover an appropriate share of institutional costs.

Steven R. Phelps